## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Appeal No. 58 of 2015 And Original Application No. 426 of 2017 (Earlier O.A. No. 65 of 2017) (Earlier Review Application No. 02 of 2016)

**IN THE MATTER OF:-**

Khatima Fibres Ltd.

Vs.

Uttarakhand Environment Protection and Pollution Control Board & Ors.

And

Khatima Fibres Ltd.

Vs.

Uttarakhand Environment Protection and Pollution Control Board & Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHUVENDRA S. RATHORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Applicant:	Mr. Minakshi Arora, Mr. Pras <mark>anji</mark> t Keswarni Adv. Mrs. Pooja Dhar, Adv. and Mr. Bhopal Singh Adv. for appellant.
Respondent No. 1:	Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Respondent No. 2:	Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. and Mr. Bhupinder Kr., LA
$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J} \Lambda$	Mr. B. V. Niren, Adv. for CGWA
Applicant:	Ms. Minakshi Arora, Sr. Adv. alongwith Mr.
2 🛶	Prasenji <mark>t Keswani</mark> , Ms. Pooja Dhar, and Mr. Bhopal Singh, Adv.
Respondent No. 1:	Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kumar
	Sinha, Advs.
Respondent No. 2:	Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. and Mr. Bhupender, LA
	Mr. B. V. Niren, Adv. and Mr. Vinayak Gukpta,
	Adv. for CGWA
UPPCB	Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhyani Advs.
	Respondent No. 1: Respondent No. 2: Applicant: Respondent No. 1: Respondent No. 2:

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal	
Item No. 07 & 10	Original Application No. 426 of 2017 and Appeal No.	
July 11, 2017	58 of 2015	
sn	We have heard the Learned Counsels appearing for	
	the parties and have also perused the joint inspection	
	team report in some detail.	
	We dispose of the Application and Appeal with a	
	direction that the unit shall bring its TSS (Total	
	Suspended Solid) value as close as possible to 30 mg/1	
	and would also ensure that their effluent from the outlet	
	of the ETP is recycled to the extent it is possible but in any	

